

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILIN	IG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/739,418	12/1	8/2003	Gregory E. Howard	TI-35903	TI-35903 1304	
23494	7590	01/27/2006		EXAMINER		
TEXAS INSTRUMENTS INCORPORATED				NGUYEN, DILINH P		
	55474, M/S 39 TX 75265	999		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
•				2814		
				DATE MAILED: 01/27/2006	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			h
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/739,418	HOWARD, GREGORY E.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	DiLinh Nguyen	2814	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutoring period was reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from 1, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nety filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 N	ovember 2005.		
<i>,</i>	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar			
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	o3 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9 and 11-16</u> is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9,11-15</u> is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>16</u> is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		

Art Unit: 2814

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 5-9 and 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kraus et al. (U.S. Pat. 4803595) in view of Hayashi et al. (U.S. Pat. 6809268).

Kraus et al. disclose a semiconductor device comprising:

- a) a plurality of spaced-apart substrate segments 1;
- b) an integrated circuit chip 4 mounted on one of said segments; and
- c) an interconnection layer 3 supporting said substrate segments (cover fig., column 2, lines 45-50).

Kraus et al. do not disclose the interconnection layer is a flexible interconnection layer.

However, Hayashi et al. disclose a semiconductor device comprising: a core substrate 2 composite material includes polymer resin having flexibility (cover fig., column 15, lines 20-28). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the semiconductor package of Kraus et al. by having the flexible interconnection layer because as taught by Hayashi et

al., such flexible interconnection layer would provide no cracks are generated in a portion of the core substrate (column 2, lines 30-34).

Regarding claim 2, Kraus et al. disclose that the device further includes a plurality of conductive vias 8 extending through one of said substrate segments connected to the terminals 5 or 7 of said chip; said vias also electrically and mechanically connected to pads and/or traces 13 on a first surface of said interconnection layer (cover fig.).

Page 3

- Regarding claim 3, Kraus et al. disclose that the substrate segment 1 having the integrated circuit chip 4 mounted thereon is surrounded by a plurality of substrate segments on said interconnection layer, each substrate segment positioned over a plurality of external contacts 7 on the opposite surface of said interconnection layer.
- Regarding claims 5-6, Hayashi et al. disclose a semiconductor device having a substrate segment comprises a BT resin with a thickness of approximately 0.8mm (cover fig., column 6, lines 27-29). The substrate is made of bismaleimide triazine (BT) resin would have a tensile modulus of greater than 50 GPa.
- Regarding claim 7, Kraus et al. disclose that the integrated circuit chip contacts comprise flip chip bumps 7 (cover fig.).
- Regarding claim 8, Kraus et al. disclose that external contacts comprise solder balls [the plurality of balls on a surface of layer 3] (cover fig.).

Application/Control Number: 10/739,418

Art Unit: 2814

Regarding claim 9, Kraus et al. disclose that the connections [the plurality of balls
on a surface of layer 3] between said substrate segments 1 and said
interconnection layer 3 are comprised of solder (cover fig.).

Page 4

- Regarding claim 12, Kraus et al. disclose a multi-chip module comprising:
- a) a plurality of substrate segments 1 mounted on one surface of a interconnection layer 3;
- b) a plurality of electronic components including integrated circuit chips and/or capacitors 2 and 4 mounted on the opposite surface of said interconnection layer;
- c) said interconnection layer including means for connecting said substrate segments, and
- d) a plurality of external contacts on said substrate segments [plurality of balls on the surface of interconnection layer 3] (cover fig., column 2, lines 45 et seq.).

Kraus et al. do not disclose the interconnection layer is a flexible interconnection layer.

However, Hayashi et al. disclose a semiconductor device comprising: a core substrate 2 composite material includes polymer resin having flexibility (cover fig., column 15, lines 20-28). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the semiconductor package of Kraus et al. by having the flexible interconnection layer because as taught by Hayashi et al., such flexible interconnection layer would provide no cracks are generated in a portion of the core substrate (column 2, lines 30-34).

Regarding claim 13, Kraus et al. disclose that the electronic components are
interconnected to each other and to said external contacts by a plurality of
conductive vias 8 extending through said substrate segments, and conductors 13
on and in said flexible interconnection layer.

Page 5

- Regarding claim 14, Kraus et al. disclose that the substrate segments are
 positioned atop a plurality of external solder ball contacts on the second surface
 of said interconnection layer (cover fig.).
- 3. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kraus et al. (U.S. Pat. 4803595) in view of Hayashi et al. (U.S. Pat. 6809268) and further in view of Tada et al. (U.S. Pat. 5647999).

Kraus et al. also disclose that the flexible interconnection layer 3 comprising one or more levels of conductive traces connecting selected layers.

Kraus et al. and Hayashi et al. do not explicitly disclose the flexible interconnection layer comprises a low dielectric polymeric film having a tensile modulus in the range of 2 to 10 Gpa.

However, Tada et al. disclose a semiconductor device comprising an interconnection layer has a low dielectric polymeric film having a tensile modulus in the range of 2 to 10 GPa (column 4, lines 48-52). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device structure of the above combination by having the flexible interconnection layer comprises a low dielectric polymeric film having a tensile modulus in the range of 2 to

Application/Control Number: 10/739,418

Art Unit: 2814

10 Gpa because as taught by Tada et al., such polymeric film having a tensile modulus in the range of 2 to 10 GPA would increase the rigidity of the polymeric member.

4. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kraus et al. (U.S. Pat. 4803595) in view of Hayashi et al. (U.S. Pat. 6809268) and further in view of Arima et al. (U.S. Pat. 5375042).

Kraus et al. and Hayashi et al. substantially discloses all the limitations as claimed above except for a preformed cap covering the integrated circuit chip and its interconnections.

However, Arima et al. disclose a semiconductor device comprising: a preformed cap 9 covering the integrated circuit chip 6 and its interconnections 8 (cover fig., column 4, lines 49-51). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device structure of the above combination by having a preformed cap covering the integrated circuit chip and its interconnections, as taught by Arima et al., in order to protect the integrated circuit chip and its interconnections (cover fig.).

- 5. Claims 12 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ahn et al. (U.S. Pat. 6586835) in view of Hayashi et al. (U.S. Pat. 6809268).
 - Regarding claim 12, Ahn et al. disclose a multi-chip module comprising:
- a) a plurality of substrate segments 125 mounted on one surface of a interconnection layer 110;
- b) a plurality of electronic components including integrated circuit chips 125 mounted on the opposite surface of said interconnection layer;

Application/Control Number: 10/739,418 Page 7

Art Unit: 2814

c) said interconnection layer including means for connecting said substrate segments, and

d) a plurality of external contacts on said substrate segments 131 (fig. 1A, column 4, lines 55 et seq.).

Kraus et al. do not disclose the interconnection layer is a flexible interconnection layer.

However, Hayashi et al. disclose a semiconductor device comprising: a core substrate 2 composite material includes polymer resin having flexibility (cover fig., column 15, lines 20-28). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the semiconductor package of Ahn et al. by having the flexible interconnection layer because as taught by Hayashi et al., such flexible interconnection layer would provide no cracks are generated in a portion of the core substrate (column 2, lines 30-34).

Regarding claim 15, Ahn et al. disclose that the electronic components 125 are
mechanically and electrically connected on the first surface of said
interconnection layer and the second surface of said interconnection layer 110 is
connected to a plurality of substrate segments 125.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/739,418 Page 8

Art Unit: 2814

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DiLinh Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1712. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00AM - 6:00PM (M-F).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wael Fahmy can be reached on (571) 272-1705. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DLN

HOÁI PHAM PRIMARY EXAMINER